

REMARKS

This amendment is submitted in response to the Advisory action mailed October 5, 2005.

35 USC 112, second paragraph

The Examiner rejects claim 1 because of perceived confusion in the language of the claim. Claim 1 has been amended to clarify this issue, clearing distinguishing in the limitations of claim 1 a first power source and a second power source.

35 USC 102(a)

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 USC 102(a) as being anticipated by Landy (US 6,580,591). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. Landy does not describe multiple power sources used simultaneously as the claimed invention does. Specifically, the present invention is directed toward a circuit that is already being powered before it is connected to a second power source. Unlike Landy, the present invention is disclosed as claimed, with first and second power sources simultaneously supplying current to the circuit.

Simultaneous currents

In the Advisory Action mailed October 5, 2005, the Examiner commented that the proposed claim language was not limited to simultaneous use of multiple power supplies. Applicant has amended claim 1 to specifically claim simultaneous use of multiple power supplies.

Invitation To Telephone Conference

In the event the Examiner feels there are remaining issues that might be resolved by telephone, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the phone number listed below.

Dated: November 10, 2005

Sierra Patent Group, Ltd.
PO Box 6149
Stateline, NV 89449
(775) 586-9500

Respectfully submitted,
SIERRA PATENT GROUP, LTD.



Jonathan D. Hanish
Reg. No. 57,821